Carbohydrates in Instant Coffee

- ALEXYS Carbohydrate Analyzer
- Method is based on HPAEC-PAD
- Analysis adapted from ISO Method 11292:1995
- Comparison of the standard method with adapted method
- Adapted method is ‘fast and green’

Summary

In this application note the analysis of carbohydrates in instant coffee, following the ISO 11292:1995 method, was evaluated using the ALEXYS Carbohydrates Analyzer. The method is based on separation of carbohydrates by High Performance Anion Exchange Chromatography followed by Pulsed Amperometric Detection (HPAEC-PAD) under standard bore conditions. An adapted method was evaluated, which uses narrow-bore conditions and a column with smaller particle size. In comparison with the standard method, the adapted method resulted in 50% shorter analysis times and 90% lower solvent use (‘greener’ solution). Both standard bore and narrow-bore methods provide excellent sensitivity, linearity and reproducibility, and are suitable for the routine analysis of carbohydrates in coffee applications using the ALEXYS Carbohydrates Analyzer.
Carbohydrates in Instant Coffee

Introduction

Carbohydrates (also called saccharides) are the most abundant biomolecules in nature and a major constituent in many food and beverage products. These molecules provide the most easily accessible energy source for our body and are highly valued for their structural properties and (sweet) taste. Measurement of their presence in food and drinks is required for product labeling purpose, but carbohydrate composition is also a very good tracer for authenticity assessment [1, 2].

Coffee is one of the most popular drinks in the world. Carbohydrates are the major constituents of coffee beans and they act as aroma binders, foam stabilizers and they increase the viscosity of the drink. Their analysis in coffee and coffee products is covered by method ISO 11292:1995 [3], issued by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO). The principle of this method is the same as Method 995.13 [4], which was issued in 1995 by the Association of Analytical Chemists (AOAC) and originally implemented as the UK national standard BS 5752-15:1995. This UK standard was withdrawn and replaced in 1997 by the unaltered text of the ISO method as BS 5752-15:1997 [5]. The text of the ISO method has further been approved by the governments as suitable for publication without deviations as the national standard method for use in India [6] and Mexico [7], which are major coffee producing countries. Germany, which is a coffee importing country, has adopted a translated version of the ISO method [8].

The principle of the ISO method for analysis of free and total carbohydrate content in soluble/instant coffee is:

- extraction of the free carbohydrates from the coffee with water, and hydrolysis of the coffee to obtain an extract of the monosaccharides for quantitation of the total carbohydrate content
- separation of the individual mono- and disaccharides with High Performance Anion Exchange Chromatography (HPAEC) using water as the mobile phase
- detection on a gold electrode using Pulsed Amperometric Detection (PAD) after post-column NaOH addition.

The ISO method was developed in a time when applying normal bore HPLC in combination with large particles size was the best available commercial option. Nowadays, commercially available HPAEC columns with a smaller internal diameter are available, which reduces the need of solvents ('going green'). Another development has been the reduction of column particle size. The higher separation efficiencies of smaller particles can be used to reduce the analysis time. To apply these new column types, the only adaptation to the parameters given in the ISO method is to lower the given flow rate and injection volume to match the narrower column.

This note shows the applicability of Antec’s ALEXYS Carbohydrate Analyzer (Figure 1) to analyze carbohydrates using the standard ISO method. The second part of this note shows the excellent results ('fast and green') when using the dedicated ALEXYS Carbohydrates Analyzer and a modern narrow-bore small particle sized HPAECcolumn.

Figure 1: ALEXYS Carbohydrate Analyzer with post-column addition pump

Method

The ALEXYS Carbohydrate Analyzer is a system for HPAEC-PAD analyses and it was developed around the DECADE Elite™ electrochemical detector. The system is standard equipped with a low pressure Solvent Selection Valve (SSV) for easy switching between solutions, helium blanketing hardware (pressure resistant bottles, pressure regulator, valves and lines), autosampler and column thermostat. The system was expanded with a post-column NaOH addition pump to meet the requirements of the method. The system is standard equipped with normal bore HPLC PEEK lines, but for the method valuation of the adapted ‘fast and green’ method, a dedicated narrow-bore tubing set was installed, as well as a high pressure SSV with a 2 mL stainless steel loop (pressurized reservoir for column flush solution).
For comparison purpose, a summary of the ISO method 11292: 1995 is given in Table 1, which is by no means intended to make the use of the official text superfluous.

**Table 1**

**Summary of method ISO 11292: 1995**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HPLC</td>
<td>Metal free liquid chromatograph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector</td>
<td>Pulsed amperometric detector (PAD) with gold electrode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column</td>
<td>High-performance anion-exchange column filled with pellicular polystyrene-divinylbenzene resin and guard column</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile phase (Eluent S1)</td>
<td>demineralized water (18 MΩ·cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-column addition and post-run flush solution (Eluent S2)</td>
<td>0.3 M NaOH in demineralized water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate mobile phase</td>
<td>1.0 mL/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate post column addition</td>
<td>0.6 mL/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Ambient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector settings</td>
<td>Use the optimum conditions given by the manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate standard solutions</td>
<td>Arabinose, fructose, galactose, glucose, mannose, sucrose, mannitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{\text{injection}}$</td>
<td>20 µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis</td>
<td>Inject a standard solution every 4 injections to account for any changes in retention times or peak integration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2**

**Conditions, based on ISO method 11292:1995**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HPLC</td>
<td>ALEXYS Carbohydrate Analyzer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add-on parts</td>
<td>Pump and mixing coil for post-column NaOH addition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile phase</td>
<td>Demineralized water (18 MΩ·cm, TOC&lt;10ppb), blanketed with Helium 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-column addition</td>
<td>0.3 M NaOH in demineralized water, blanketed with Helium 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-run flush solution</td>
<td>0.3 M NaOH in demineralized water, blanketed with Helium 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>32 °C*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow cell</td>
<td>SenCell™ with gold working electrode, HyREF™, AST 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector settings</td>
<td>PAD mode (4-step): $E_1$, $E_2$, $E_3$, $E_4$: +0.1, -2.0, +0.6, -0.1 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$t_1$, $t_2$, $t_3$, $t_4$: 0.40, 0.02, 0.01, 0.07 s; ts: 200 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-cell</td>
<td>0.2-1.0 µA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADF</td>
<td>0.02 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>20 µA/V (1 µA/V for measuring near-LOD levels)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Separation**

Due to the numerous hydroxyl groups on carbohydrate molecules, they can be well separated in isocratic mode on a HPAEC separation column using water as the mobile phase. Post-column addition of NaOH is necessary to increase the pH and make the carbohydrates detectable at the gold electrode. A 15-minute post-run clean up with 0.3 M NaOH is part of the ISO method to keep column performance in check. A re-equilibration time of 15 minutes with mobile phase makes the column ready for the next injection.

Temperature is a crucial parameter for separation, and stable reproducible retention times are only possible using a thermostatic compartment. The ISO method, however, states the use of ambient temperature, and the analysis of a standard every 4 injections to compensate for any changes in the chromatograms. The moment-to-moment temperature changes in a laboratory without air-conditioning have a significant effect on the retention times (data not shown), and therefore we chose to apply a fixed temperature that was only a few degrees above ambient.

An HPAEC column with 4 mm ID and 10 µm particles is mentioned in the ISO method as an example of a suitable column (Table 1 and 3). An HPAEC column with narrower internal diameter and smaller particles that fits the description of the ISO method is chosen to make the ‘fast and green’ method (Table 1 and 4).

**Traditional conditions:**

The traditional wide-bore HPAEC column (and matching precolumn) was chosen for method evaluation, using conditions given in Table 2 and Table 3. A schematic representation of the system is given in Figure 2. These conditions are advised in case there is no freedom to apply the reduced flow rate as needed for the adapted method.

**Adapted conditions for the ‘fast and green’ method:**

A narrow-bore HPAEC column and matching precolumn are chosen for the ‘fast and green’ method, with conditions given in Table 2 and Table 4. Due to the narrow-bore dimensions of the column, a lower flow rate has to be applied to compensate for the narrower internal diameter, and the flow rate of the post-run NaOH addition is decreased accordingly.
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The lag time of the post-run column flush is minimized by installing the pressurized reservoir with column flush solution right before the injector. A splitter provides a constant flow of NaOH solution to the mixer at a flow rate of 90 µL/min and to the pressurized reservoir (2 mL) at a flow rate of 210 µL/min. The solution in the pressurized solvent reservoir is redirected to the column before the end of the run and refilled during the first part of the next run. A schematic representation of the system is given in Figure 3.

Detection

Carbohydrates need a high pH to be detectable on a gold electrode with PAD. This makes post-column NaOH addition necessary when running pure water as the mobile phase. Under the recommended mixing ratio, the acidity inside the electrochemical flow cell is above pH 13. The optimum conditions for the PAD settings are a 4-step potential waveform as shown in Figure 4. This has been claimed to have as benefit (1) a consistent long-term peak area response and (2) minimal electrode wear [9]. The DECADE Elite applies this 4-step pulse to the gold electrode of SenCell, which has a three-electrode configuration. For analysis of carbohydrates, a combination of gold working electrode (WE), HyREF (Pd/H₂) reference electrode (REF) and stainless-steel auxiliary electrode (AUX) is advised. The chromatograms were recorded using Clarity software (Data Apex), which was also used to control the system.

Performance evaluation

Performance of both traditional and ‘fast and green’ methods were evaluated using standard measurements for repeatability (n=6), linearity and Limit of Detection (LOD). The LOD levels were calculated for each carbohydrate as the concentration that would show a signal-to-noise ratio of 3, using the response of a 10 µM standards mix chromatogram recorded under a range setting of 1 µA/V and an ADF setting of 0.02 Hz. The

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions matching the ISO method settings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Column</strong> (guard + analytical)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermo Scientific™ Dionex™ CarboPac™ PA1 4 x 50 mm, 10 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixer volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 mL/min: mobile phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6 mL/min: post-column addition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 µL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adapted ‘fast and green’ method settings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Column</strong> (guard + analytical)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermo Scientific™ Dionex™ CarboPac™ PA210-Fast-4µm, 30 x 2.0 mm ID, 4 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixer volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15 mL/min: mobile phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.09 mL/min: post-column addition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 µL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Schematic hardware representation of the set-up as used for testing the original conditions

Figure 3: Schematic hardware representation of the set-up as used for testing the adapted ‘fast and green’ conditions

The lag time of the post-run column flush is minimizes by installing the pressurized reservoir with column flush solution right before the injector. A splitter provides a constant flow of NaOH solution to the mixer at a flow rate of 90 µL/min and to the pressurized reservoir (2 mL) at a flow rate of 210 µL/min. The solution in the pressurized solvent reservoir is redirected to the column before the end of the run and refilled during the first part of the next run. A schematic representation of the system is given in Figure 3.
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ASTM noise level was calculated as the average from 30 subsequent 0.5 min segment peak-to-peak values.

Sample measurements

The soluble coffee sample preparation was done according to the standardized method, which comprises (1) the extraction of ‘free’ carbohydrates with water, and (2) generating a hydrolyzed fraction that yields the total carbohydrate content as a mix of monosaccharides:

Sample preparation for analysis of free carbohydrates
Extract the free carbohydrates from approximately 300 mg of instant coffee with 70 mL water by shaking in a 100 mL volumetric flask until dissolution is complete. Dilute to the mark with water and pass 5-10 mL of the solution through a C18 cartridge. Discard the first few milliliters and pass the filtrate through a 0.2 µm membrane filter before injection.

Sample preparation for analysis of total carbohydrates
Hydrolyze the carbohydrates by heating approximately 300 mg of instant coffee dissolved in 70 mL 1.0 M HCl in a boiling water bath for about 2.5 h. Keep the level of the solution below that of the water and swirl every 30 min. Cool to room temperature with running tap water before diluting to the mark with water. Filter the solution through a folded filter paper and pass 3 mL of the solution through a disposable cartridge in the silver form to eliminate the chloride ions. Discard the first few milliliters and pass the filtrate through a 0.2 µm membrane filter before injection.

Standards

For quantification purpose, stock solutions of individual carbohydrates in water were mixed and diluted with water to prepare calibration standards in the range of 1-10 µM (10x higher galactose and arabinose concentrations). An additional calibrator standard mix (Table 5) was prepared with concentrations close to the levels as found in the hydrolyzed fraction (single point calibration).

Table 5
Calibrator concentrations for quantification of hydrolyzed fractions of coffee using traditional conditions as given in Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>µM</th>
<th>mg/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mannitol</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabinose</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactose</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylose</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannose</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Results - traditional conditions

Figure 5 shows the chromatogram for a standard mix of the 8 carbohydrates in water that are mentioned in the ISO method for the analysis of instant coffee, plus xylene. All the peaks are well separated (resolution ≥ 1.5), including the potentially problematic peak pair of sucrose and glucose (Table 6). The total analysis time for each sample is 80 min, which includes the post-run step gradient for column clean-up and 15 min re-equilibration time.

Repeatability

The ISO method states the advice to analyze a standard after every 4 injections to account for any changes in retention time or response. The repeatability of retention time was >2%RSD (n=4) when applying ambient temperature (data not shown). With the use of the ALEXYS system and application of thermostatted instead of ambient temperature, excellent repeatability of chromatograms (n=6) is evident from the obtained RSD values: <0.25% for retention time and <2% for peak area for most of the carbohydrates (Table 7).

Linearity

The peak area responds linearly in the tested range of 1-10 µM standards (and 10-100 µM for galactose and arabinose) with a correlation coefficients of at least 0.998 (except 0.995 for fructose). As a double check, the carbohydrate concentrations in the calibrator solution for the hydrolyzed samples (Table 5) were quantified using the standards generated to evaluate linearity, and the outcome deviated less than 2% from the concentrations that they were supposed to represent, except for fructose (showing 10% deviation). Fructose seems to behave slightly different compared to the other tested carbohydrates, as it was also showing the highest RSD value when checking repeatability.

Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Tr (min)</th>
<th>Area (nA x sec)</th>
<th>Height (nA)</th>
<th>Assym.</th>
<th>Eff (t.p)</th>
<th>Eff/l (t.p./m)</th>
<th>Res.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mannitol</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3 051</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>3 301</td>
<td>11 005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabinose</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>1 845</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>7 526</td>
<td>25 086</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactose</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>2 677</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>7 018</td>
<td>23 392</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>2 743</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>7 303</td>
<td>24 342</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>2 503</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>6 417</td>
<td>21 391</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylose</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>1 847</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>7 918</td>
<td>26 392</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannose</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>2 136</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>7 483</td>
<td>24 944</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>7 299</td>
<td>24 330</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Retention time RSD (%)</th>
<th>Peak area RSD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mannitol</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabinose</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactose</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylose</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannose</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: Chromatogram of a 20 µL injection of 10 µM standard mix of 8 carbohydrates in water, analyzed with ISO method 11292:1995 as detailed in Table 2 and Table 3. Peak parameters given in Table 6.
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Detection limit

The Limit of Detection (LOD) was calculated for each carbohydrate as the concentration that would show a signal-to-noise ratio of 3, using the response and noise level in a 10 µM standards mix chromatogram. The noise level was 0.1 nA and the calculated LOD’s were in the range of 0.01 - 0.40 µM or 0.002 - 0.07 mg/L (Table 8). Considering the ISO method prescription where 300 mg of sample is extracted with 100 mL water, the detection limit for the various carbohydrates is in the range of 0.1 - 2 mg/100 g product using the ALEXYS system and the traditional conditions.

Sample measurement: instant coffee

A sample of instant coffee was analyzed using the traditional conditions, and the main peaks in the chromatograms were well separated and identified (Figure 6 and 7). The concentrations of free and total carbohydrates are given in Table 9. The high level of total glucose (>2.46%) suggests that the tested instant coffee is not pure [1, 2].

Table 8

Calculated LOD (S/N = 3) for 20 µL injections using ISO method 11292:1995 as detailed in Table 2 and Table 3 (range 1 µA/V; ADF: 0.02 Hz)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>µM</th>
<th>mg/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mannitol</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabinose</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactose</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylose</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannose</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9

Concentration of carbohydrates (g/100 g) in a sample of instant coffee, analyzed using traditional version of ISO method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>A - free</th>
<th>B - total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mannitol</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabinose</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactose</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylose</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannose</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6: Chromatogram of the free carbohydrate fraction (non-hydrolyzed) of an instant coffee sample extracted and analyzed according to ISO method 11292:1995 with details as given in Table 2 and Table 3.

Figure 7: Chromatogram of the total carbohydrate fraction (hydrolyzed) of an instant coffee sample extracted and analyzed according to ISO method 11292:1995 with details as given in Table 2 and Table 3.
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Results - adapted ‘fast and green’ method

Using the dedicated ALEXYS Carbohydrates Analyzer and the narrow-bore HPAEC column, the analysis of the standards mix resulted in the chromatogram shown in Figure 8. All the peaks are well separated (resolution ≥ 1.5) and the total analysis time for each sample is reduced to 35 min, which includes a 10 min post-run step gradient for column clean-up and 10 min re-equilibration time. The reduction in solvent use is almost 90% per analysis, compared to the traditional settings.

According to the ISO method, rhamnose and arabinose are a potentially problematic pair to separate, and the advice is not to add rhamnose in case they co-elute. Figure 9 shows a chromatogram overlay that indicates that rhamnose, ribose and lactose (relevant for other applications) are not co-eluting with the other peaks.

Repeatability

The excellent repeatability of chromatograms using the ALEXYS system is evident from the obtained RSD values, which are <0.4% for retention time and <0.3% for peak area, except for fructose (Table 11).

Linearity

The peak area responds linear in the tested range of 0.2 - 10 µM and 10 - 250 µM with a correlation coefficients of 0.999 for all carbohydrates, except sucrose. Concentrations higher than 250 µM result in increased peaks asymmetry and relatively lower area response. For sucrose, the response stops being linear above 50 µM (=17 mg/L).

### Table 10

Peak table of chromatogram given in Figure 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Tr (min)</th>
<th>Area (nA.sec)</th>
<th>Height (nA)</th>
<th>Assym. (-)</th>
<th>Eff. (t.p.)</th>
<th>Eff/l (t.p./m)</th>
<th>Res. (-)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mannitol</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3 030</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1 578</td>
<td>8 764</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabinose</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2 337</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>8 889</td>
<td>49 381</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactose</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>2 760</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>9 140</td>
<td>50 780</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2 671</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>11 318</td>
<td>62 880</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>2 970</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>10 837</td>
<td>60 203</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylose</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>2 531</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>14 092</td>
<td>78 288</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannose</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2 604</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>15 197</td>
<td>84 428</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>1 573</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>12 847</td>
<td>71 374</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Detection limit

With a noise level of 0.2 nA, the calculated LOD’s were better than 0.03 µM for most tested carbohydrates, except for fructose (Table 12). Comparing the LOD values with those reported using the already highly sensitive traditional conditions, about 4x lower LOD levels are found for the adapted ‘fast and green’ method. Note that the injection volume was also a factor 4 lower (20 vs 5 µL), giving a total on-column detection sensitivity improvement of a factor around 16 (with some deviations among components).

Sample measurement: instant coffee

Both hydrolyzed and non-hydrolyzed samples of the same instant coffee were re-analyzed using the adapted ‘fast and green’ method, resulting in the chromatograms shown in Figure 10, Figure 11 and the carbohydrate composition given in Table 13.

The hydrolyzed sample had to be diluted 10x with water before analysis, as undiluted sample showed tailing peaks with an asymmetry factor of 3, indicating column saturation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Retention time (min)</th>
<th>Peak area RSD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mannitol</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabinose</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactose</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylose</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannose</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>nM</th>
<th>µg/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mannitol</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabinose</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactose</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylose</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannose</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11: Repeatability (n=6) using 5 µL of 10 µM carbohydrate standards mix in water, analyzed with adapted ‘fast and green’ version of ISO method 11292:1995 as detailed in Table 2 and Table 4

Table 12: Calculated LOD (S/N = 3) for 5 µL injections using adapted ‘fast and green’ version of ISO method 11292:1995 as detailed in Table 2 and Table 4 (Range 1 µA/V; ADF: 0.02 Hz)

Figure 10: Chromatogram of the free carbohydrate fraction (non-hydrolyzed) of an instant coffee sample extracted according to ISO method 11292:1995 and analyzed using a narrow bore HPAEC column (adapted ‘fast and green’ conditions) as detailed in Table 2 and Table 4.

Figure 11: Chromatogram of the total carbohydrate fraction (hydrolyzed) of an instant coffee sample extracted according to ISO method 11292:1995 and analyzed using a narrow bore HPAEC column (adapted ‘fast and green’ conditions) as detailed in Table 2 and Table 4.
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The levels of the most abundant carbohydrates in the hydrolyzed fraction were quantified to reach up to 3 mM, which far exceeded the linear response range for the adapted method. An additional sample dilution step is therefore advised for the hydrolyzed fraction when using the narrow-bore HPAEC column and adapted conditions.

When comparing the sample composition tables and chromatograms as obtained with the traditional and adapted ‘fast and green’ conditions, it can be observed that the data are comparable among methods. The small differences in quantification data may have developed during the storage of the samples before re-analysis.

### Sample measurement: breakfast cereal

To show that this detection method is more generally applicable, a chromatogram was made from an extract of breakfast cereal (Figure 12). According to the labelling information the sugar content was 15 g/100 g product. The main ‘free’ carbohydrate was found to be sucrose, at the concentration stated on the label. An alternative sweetener to sucrose is high glucose-fructose corn syrup, but in the tested product the main sweetener is clearly sucrose.

### Table 13

Concentration of carbohydrates (g/100 g) in a sample of instant coffee, analyzed using adapted ‘fast and green’ version of ISO method 11292:1995 as given in Table 2 and Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>A - free</th>
<th>B - total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mannitol</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabinose</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactose</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylose</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannose</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>42</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(роматogram not shown). The levels of the most abundant carbohydrates in the hydrolyzed fraction were quantified to reach up to 3 mM, which far exceeded the linear response range for the adapted method. An additional sample dilution step is therefore advised for the hydrolyzed fraction when using the narrow-bore HPAEC column and adapted conditions.

When comparing the sample composition tables and chromatograms as obtained with the traditional and adapted ‘fast and green’ conditions, it can be observed that the data are comparable among methods. The small differences in quantification data may have developed during the storage of the samples before re-analysis.

### Sample measurement: breakfast cereal

To show that this detection method is more generally applicable, a chromatogram was made from an extract of breakfast cereal (Figure 12). According to the labelling information the sugar content was 15 g/100 g product. The main ‘free’ carbohydrate was found to be sucrose, at the concentration stated on the label. An alternative sweetener to sucrose is high glucose-fructose corn syrup, but in the tested product the main sweetener is clearly sucrose.

### Figure 12

Chromatogram of an extract of ‘Special flakes’ breakfast cereal from Albert Heijn. About 10 g of product was extracted with 200 mL water for 10 min, centrifuged, filtered through 0.2 μm filter and 1000x diluted with water before injection (5 μL). Chromatogram recorded with conditions as detailed in Table 2 and Table 4. Sucrose, glucose and fructose contents were quantified as respectively 15.4, 0.3 and 0.2 g/100g product.
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Conclusion
The ALEXYS Carbohydrate Analyzer with DECADE Elite detector and SenCell flow cell can be used to run the ISO method 11292:19956 for the analysis of carbohydrates in instant coffee. The use of the dedicated hardware additions, the narrow-bore column with smaller particle size, and an adapted lower flow rate results in a significant increase in sensitivity, a 50% decrease in analysis time and a 90% reduction of solvents-use compared to the traditional conditions.
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**Ordering information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>ALEXYS analyzer</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>180.005SW</td>
<td>ALEXYS Carbohydrate Analyzer with SSV and degasser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180.0606</td>
<td>Add-on parts for post-column addition, 375 µL (4 mm ID columns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180.0608</td>
<td>Add-on parts for post-column addition, 8 µL (2 mm ID columns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116.4321</td>
<td>SenCell 2 mm Au HyREF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Software**

| 195.003S#            | Clarity CDS single instr. incl LC, AS module |
| 176.0105T            | DECADE Elite driver for Chromeleon CDS |

#) optional: Antec ECD drivers for use with Chromeleon CDS, OpenLAB CDS or OpenLAB Chemstation CDS are available.

---

**Figure 13:** ALEXYS Carbohydrate Analyzer with add-on parts for post-column addition for demanding separations

The ALEXYS Carbohydrate Analyzer can be operated under DataApex™ Clarity™ CDS (version 8.3 and up) or Thermo Scientific™ Chromeleon™ Chromatography Data System (CDS) software (version 7.2 SR 5 and up).

For research purpose only. The information shown in this communication is solely to demonstrate the applicability of the ALEXYS system and DECADE Elite detector. The actual performance may be affected by factors beyond Antec’s control. Specifications mentioned in this application note are subject to change without further notice.

DECADE Elite, ALEXYS, SenCell, FlexCell and HyREF are trademarks of Antec Scientific. Clarity™ and DataApex™ are trademarks of DataApex Ltd. Chromeleon™, and CarboPac™ are trademarks of Thermo Fisher Scientific. OpenLAB™ and Chemstation™ are trademarks of Agilent Technologies, Inc. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners.